SAF-B03-027 Close Out Sampling for 300-FF-1 618-5 Burial Ground FINAL VALIDATION PACKAGE

MAIL COMPLETE COPY OF DATA PACKAGE TO:

Jeanette Duncan

Jill Thomson

SDG H2363

SAF-B03-027

RECEIVED FEB 0 5 2004 EDMC Date:

2 December 2003

To:

Bechtel Hanford Inc. (technical representative)

From:

TechLaw, Inc.

Project:

Close Out Sampling for 300-FF-5 618-5 Burial Ground

Subject: Inorganics - Data Package No. H2363-LLI (SDG No. H2363)

INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. H2363-LLI prepared by Lionville Laboratory Inc. (LLI). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

Sample ID	Sample Date	Media	Validation	Analysis
J00YJ8	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YJ9	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YK0	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YK1	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YK2	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YK3	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YK4	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YK5	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YK6	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YK7	9/24/03	Soil	C ·	See note 1

^{1 -} ICP metals by 6010B.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the Bechtel Hanford Incorporated (BHI) validation statement of work and the 300 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan, (DOE/RL-2001-48, Rev. 0, June 2002). Appendices 1 through 6 provide the following information as indicated below:

- Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers
- Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification
- Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports
- Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation
- Appendix 6. Additional Documentation Requested by Client

DATA QUALITY PARAMETERS

Holding Times

Analytical holding times for metals are assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: Soil samples must be analyzed within 6 months for ICP metals.

All holding times were acceptable.

Preparation (Method) Blanks

Preparation Blanks

At least one preparation blank, consisting of deionized distilled water processed through each sample preparation and analysis procedure, must be prepared and analyzed with every sample delivery group. In the case of positive blank results, samples with digestate concentrations less than five times the preparation blank value have had their associated values qualified as non-detected and flagged "U". Samples with concentrations of greater than five times the highest blank concentration do not require qualification.

In the case of negative blank results, if the absolute value exceeds the contract required detection limit (CRDL), all nondetects are rejected and flagged "UR" and all detects that are less than ten times the absolute value of the associated preparation blank result are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If the absolute value of the negative preparation blank is greater than the instrument detection limit (IDL) and less than or equal to the CRDL, all nondetects are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ" and all detects less than ten times the absolute value of the blank are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If the sample results are greater than ten times the absolute value of the preparation blank, no qualification is necessary.

All preparation blank results were acceptable.

Field (Equipment) Blank

No equipment blanks were submitted for analysis.

Accuracy

Matrix Spike and Laboratory Control Sample

Matrix spike (MS) and laboratory control sample (LCS) analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data. The matrix spike is used to assess the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations.

000002

Recoveries must fall within the range of 75% to 125%. Samples with a recovery of less than 25% and a sample result below the instrument detection limit (IDL) are rejected and flagged "UR". Samples with a recovery of 30% to 74% and a sample result less than the IDL are qualified "UJ". Samples with a recovery of greater than 125% or less than 75% and a sample result greater than the IDL are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Finally, for samples with a spike recovery greater than 125% and a sample result less than the IDL, no qualification is required.

Due to a matrix spike recovery of -47%, all lead results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other accuracy results were acceptable.

Precision

Laboratory Duplicate Samples

Analytical precision is expressed by the relative percent differences (RPD) between the recoveries of matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. Precision may alternatively be assessed using unspiked duplicate analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. If both sample and replicate activities (concentrations) are greater than five times the CRDL and the RPD is less than 35%, no qualification is required. If either activity (concentration) is less than five times the CRDL, the RPD control limit is less than or equal to two times the CRDL. If the RPD is outside the applicable control limit, associated results are qualified as estimated detects or estimated non-detects.

Due to an RPD of 138.3%, all lead results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other laboratory duplicate results were acceptable.

Field Duplicate

Two sets of field duplicate samples (J00YJ8/J00YK2 and J00YK3/J00YK7) were submitted for analysis. Field duplicates are compared using the same criteria as for laboratory duplicates. All field duplicate results were acceptable.

Analytical Detection Levels

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the 300 Area PQLs to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All reported results met the analyte specific PQL.

Completeness

Data package No. H2363-LLI was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

MINOR DEFICIENCIES

Due to a matrix spike recovery of -47%, all lead results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Due to an RPD of 138.3%, all lead results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Data flagged "J" indicates that the associated concentration is an estimate, but under the BHI statement of work, the data may be usable for decision-making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

REFERENCES

BHI, MRB-SBB-A23665, Validation Statement of Work, Bechtel Hanford Incorporated, September 5, 1997.

DOE/RL-2001-48. Rev. 0, 300 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan, June 2002.

Appendix 1

Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with BHI validation SOW are as follows:

- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the sample quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- J Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.
- BJ Applied to inorganic analyses only. Indicates the analyte concentration was greater than the IDL but less than the CRDL and is considered an estimated value.
- R Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.
- NJ Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).
- Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).

Appendix 2
Summary of Data Qualification

INORGANIC DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY

SDG: H2363	REVIEWER: TLI	DATE: 12/2/03	PAGE <u>1</u> OF <u>1</u>
COMMENTS:			
COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES AFFECTED	REASON
Lead	J	All	RPD
Lead	J	All	MS recovery

Appendix 3

Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

Project: BECHTEL	HANFOR	D]															
Laboratory: LLI]															
Case	SDG: H2	363																	
Sample Number		J00YJ8		J00YJ9		J00YK0		J00YK1		J00YK2		J00YK3		J00YK4		J00YK5		JOOYK6	
Remarks				<u> </u>				<u> </u>		Duplicate				<u></u>					
Sample Date		9/24/03		9/24/03		9/24/03		9/24/03		9/24/03		9/24/03		9/24/03		9/24/03		9/24/03	
Inorganics	PQL	Result	Q	Result	Q	 	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q		Q
Arsenic	10	4.5	<u> </u>	3.9		5.2	_	3.0	_	4.7	Ĺ	4.2		4.2	_	4.3	_	3.9	_
Cadmium	0.5	0.23	U_	0.23	U_	0.24	U.	0.24	U	0.47		0.24	U	0.23	U_	0.22	U_	0.23	U
Chromium	1	13.2		14.7	L_	11.6	<u> </u>	11.1	<u> </u>	13.5	L_	8.8	L	10.1	L	9.6		11,3	
Lead	10	82.3	J_	6.9	J_	9.9	J	4.7	Į_	12.3	J_	4.4	J_	5,3	1	4.6	J	6.1	J
Sample Number	 	J00YK7	<u> </u>											<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Remarks		Duplicate		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			$ldsymbol{f L}$	<u> </u>	_		<u> </u>
Sample Date		9/24/03			L_		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u>L</u> _		<u> </u>		<u></u>		l
Inorganics	PQL	Result	Q	Result	<u> Q</u>	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q_	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q		<u> </u>
Arsenic	10	2.3		L			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L_					l			
Cadmium	0.5	0.22	U						<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L.,	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
Chromium	_ 1	9.2		<u> </u>	L_	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>		L_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		\perp
Lead	10	3.8	J_	 	_	 	-	ļ	-	 	-	 	-			 			├
					匚			<u> </u>											
NA=Not analyzed	<u> </u>	ļ		 -	-	ļ- <u>-</u>		 		 	-	ļ.— <u>—</u>	├	 	 	 	├-		⊢
	<u> </u>		_										\sqsubset			T			

INORGANICS DATA SUMMARY REPORT 11/07/03

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD B03-027 H2363 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00 LVL LOT #: 0309L605

					REPORTING	DILUTION
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	UNITS	LIMIT	FACTOR
	*********			*****		
-001	J00YJ8	Arsenic, Total	4.5	MG/KG	2.4	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.23 u	MG/KG	0.23	6.0
		Chromium, Total	13.2	MG/KG	0.58	6.0
•		Lead, Total	82.3 J	MG/KG	1.1	6.0
-002	JOOYJ9	Arsenic, Total	3.9	MG/KG	2.4	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.23 u	MG/KG	0.23	6.0
		Chromium, Total	14.7	MG/KG	0.57	6.0
		Lead, Total	6.9 J	MG/KG	1.1	6.0
-003	J00YK0	Arsenic, Total	5.2	MG/KG	2.5	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.24 u	MG/KG	0.24	6.0
		Chromium, Total	11.6	MG/KG	0.59	6.0
		Lead, Total	و.و	MG/KG	1.1	6.0
-004	J00YK1	Arsenic, Total	3.0	MG/KG	2.5	6,0
		Cadmium, Total	0.24 u	MG/KG	0.24	6.0
		Chromium, Total	11.1	MG/KG	0.59	6.0
		Lead, Total	4.75	MG/KG	1.1	6.0
-005	J00YK2	Arsenic, Total	4.7	MG/KG	2.4	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.47	MG/KG	0.23	6.0
		Chromium, Total	13.5	MG/KG	0.57	6.0
		Lead, Total	12.3 🎵	MG/KG	1.1	6.0

11/23/63

INORGANICS DATA SUMMARY REPORT 11/07/03

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD B03-027 H2363 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00 LVL LOT #: 0309L605

					REPORTING	DILUTION
SAMPLE	SITE ID	analyte	RESULT	UNITS	LIMIT	FACTOR
*****	************	********				
-006	J00YK3	Arsenic, Total	4.2	MG/KG	2.5	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.24 u	MG/KG	0.24	6.0
		Chromium, Total	8.8	MG/KG	0.5 9	6.0
	•	Lead, Total	4.4 🛣	MG/KG	1.1	6.0
-007	J00YK4	Arsenic, Total	4.2	MG/KG	2.4	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.23 u	MG/KG	0.23	6.0
		Chromium, Total	10.1	MG/KG	0.58	6.0
		Lead, Total	5.3 🛣	MG/KG	1.1	6.0
-008	JOOYKS	Arsenic, Total	4.3	MG/KG	2.3	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.22 u	MG/KG	0.22	6.0
		Chromium, Total	9.6	MG/KG	0.55	6.0
		Lead, Total	4.6 3	MG/KG	1.1	6.0
-009	J0 0YK6	Arsenic, Total	3.9	MG/KG	2.4	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.23 u	MG/KG	0.23	6.0
		Chromium, Total	11.3	MG/KG	0.5B	€.0
		Lead, Total	6.1 7	MG/KG	1.1	6.0
-010	J00YK7	Arsenic, Total	2.3	MG/KG	2.3	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.22 u	MG/KG	0.22	6.0
		Chromium, Total	9.2	MG/KG	0.54	6.0
		Lead, Total	3.8 🕽	MG/KG	1.0	6.0

pr 11/2/107

Appendix 4

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation



Analytical Report

Client: TNU-HANFORD B03-027

W.O.#: 11343-606-001-9999-00

LVL#: 0309L605

Date Received: 09-30-03

SDG/SAF#: H2364/B03-027 H2363 04 11/1/03

METALS CASE NARRATIVE

This narrative covers the analyses of 10 soil samples. 1.

- 2. The samples were prepared and analyzed in accordance with methods checked on the attached glossary.
- All analyses were performed within the required holding times. 3.
- All results presented in this report are derived from samples that met LvLI's sample 4. acceptance policy.
- All Initial and Continuing Calibration Verifications (ICV/CCVs) were within the 90-110% 5. control limits (80-120% for Mercury).
- All Initial and Continuing Calibration Blanks (ICB/CCBs) were within control limits (less 6. than the PQL).
- 7. All preparation/method blanks (MB) were within method criteria {less than the Practical Ouantitation Limit (3X the IDL), MB value less than 5% of the RCRA limit, or samples greater than 20X MB value}. Refer to the Inorganics Method Blank Data Summary.
- All ICP Interference Check Standards were within control limits. 8.
- 9. All laboratory control samples (LCS) were within the 80-120% control limits. Refer to the Inorganics Laboratory Control Standards Report.
- The matrix spike (MS) recovery for 1 analyte was outside the 75-125% control limits. Refer 10. to the Inorganics Accuracy Report.
- 11. For analytes where the ICP MS is out-of-control, a post-digestion MS (PDS) and serial dilution are performed. A PDS was prepared at meaningful concentration level for the following analytes:

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples at receipt and during storage. All pages of this

		<u>PDS</u>	<u>PDS</u>
Sample ID	Element	Concentration (ppb)	% Recovery
J00YJ8	Lead	1200	101.4

- 12. The duplicate analysis for 1 analyte was outside the 20% Relative Percent Difference (RPD) control limits. Refer to the Inorganics Precision Report.
- 13. For the purposes of this report, the data has been reported to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL). Values between the IDL and the Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL) are acquired in a region of less-certain quantification.
- 14. I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard-copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

Iain Daniels

Laboratory Manager

Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

gmb/m09-605

<u>10-17-03</u>

Date





Bechtel Hanfor		HAIN OF CUST		Project Coordinator RESSNER, JH		Price Code 8K		ــ ماد جنيما	ig wilden den eine				
R Fahlberg	·		Jeff Lerch 373-5904							15 Days			
roject Designation Close Out Sampling for 300-F	F-1 618-5 Burial Groun	I - '.	S Deep Zone		·	<u>.</u>		SAF No. Air Quality B03-027			·		
ce Chest No.	96 019		Logbook No. 1395-8		COA RG6185260	00		Method of Shipment Fed EX				<u></u>	
	ECRO	Offsite	Property No.	Aoso	, 379		<u> </u>	See OSPC Fo		·	·	ı	· · · · ·
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZA				1	1			_ 		1	ŀ		
Potentially Radioactive Tie To	JO0 YJ6		Preservation	None	None					ļ			
Special Handling and/or Storage Type of Contain				aG	∌G			_		ļ			ļ
None			No. of Container(s)	<u> </u>	1					<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
_			Volume	60m4	250mL								
000016	SAMPLE ANAL	YSIS		Isotopic Uranium	ICP Metals - 6010A (Add- on) (Arsenic,	9.24.0							
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time	18 He						1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	第 字连现	ं के हैं	
J00YJ8	SOIL		0900	X	X								
J00YJ9	SOIL		0915	K	X								
J00YK0	SOIL	•	0530	X	×								
J00YK1	SOIL		0440	1/x	X								
J00YK2	SOIL		0950	X	X								
CHAIN OF POSSESSIO		Sign/Prin		<u> </u>	SPEC	IAL INSTR	UCTIO	NS					Matrix *
Relinquished By/Removed From Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time 200	DIA	9.24-03	Date/Time									S-Sun - SE-Sum - SU-Sika SI-Shalga
	29.03	R. 6.00	Q R. Fahlos	-9.29	203								W = Water O=Urit
Relinquished By/Removed From E RFa hlbey RFallh	ReDate/Time 100		red In D	onte/Time								•	A#An DS=Dan
Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time 30/03 1000	Received By/Ski	ored in	Date/Time			٠						To Liname Win Wign Lot 1, 12
Relinquished By Removed From	Date Tros	Record 3 / St	ne de	We I me								- 1	
Related By Removed From	Date 1 me	Paramed By San	est h	AND THE			•						
LABORATORY Received By SECTION				i i	ent .							المجاد أنواك	
FINAL SAMPLE Disposal M	ethod					Dane	eca n						

THE CENTER OF BUILDINGS

Bechtel Hanfor	d Inc	C	IAIN OF CUST	ODY <i>I</i> S	AMPLE	ANALI	YSIS I	(EOUE)	1 :	, <u>.</u>		I	
Collector R Fahlberg	u inc.	Comp	er Contact Leich	Telephon 373-9	ne Na		- 11	Trains Couré CESSNER, M	-	Prior Code	8K	Sura Tar	
Project Designation Close Out Sampling for 300-F	FF-1 618-5 Burnal Grou	Semel	ing Lacation 5 Stuffen Zene					SAF No. B03-027		Air Qualit	<u>y</u>	151	Days
Ice Chest No. ER	2 96.01	Field !	Logbook No. 1395-8		COA Method of Shinment Fed EX								
Shipped To EBERLINE SERVICES (For	CRA		Property No.	Ð	303	29		Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. See OSPC Form					
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZA Potentially Radioactive Tie To)	Preservation	None	None									
Special Handling and/or S	Special Handling and/or Storage				эG								
None		No. of Container(s)	1 1			1					1		
00			Volume	60ml	250mL								
000017	SAMPLE ANAI	.YSIS		Isotopic Urantian	ICP Metals 6010A (Add- on) {Arsenic, -Barium, Cadmium, Chronium, Lead}	128 q. 24.0	>						
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time									10.7	
J00YK3	SOIL	9.24.0	3 0950	X	X								
J00YK4	SOIL	9. 24-0	3 1005	X	l y								
J00YK5	SOIL	9.24.0	13 1015	1/x	X								
J00YK6	SOIL	7.24.0		1/x	LX.	<u> </u>			↓			<u> </u>	<u> </u>
J00YK7	SOIL	9-24-0	> 0950	X	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	
CHAIN OF POSSESSIO		Sign/Prin				CIAL INSTE	RUCTIO	NS					Matrix *
Relinquished By/Removed From K-LOO K-E. Relinquished By/Removed From 1 A 3 7 2 8 9-	Date/Time 1 20 h Ge 4: E4-a Date/Time	Received By/Sto	5728 9.2 red in 9.29 750						•				S-Suit SE=Suuman SO=Suitu SI=Simbga
173728 9.	2903 1000		20 P. Kali	GC C				٠		٠			W = Wates O=Oil A=A#
Relinquished By/Removed From E	Date/Time (OC	Received By Sto	COTIN D	APPENT REINC									DS=Drum Sinida DL=Dram Liquida
	9.29.63 Date/Time	Received Bw/Sto	red in	late/Time									T=Tissec WieWips Left
Relinquished By/Removed From FLATX 9/3	1000	Sper	M 9/30/C										1.50
Relinquished By Removed From	Date/Time	Received By Sac	ne la D	lanc Terre		•							
Retequished By Removed From	Date Tanz	Received By Sto	es a	ang Pipe					· .			٠	:
LABORATORY Received By SECTION		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	51	*					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Sheld Brag	<u></u>
FINAL SAMPLE Disposal Me	ethod					Dispo	ned B;					Na ^m ia ⁻	
DISPOSITION												s - 100 m - 1 m	

Appendix 5

Data Validation Supporting Documentation

ALIDATION LEVEL:	A	В	(c)	D	E
PROJECT: 30	U-FF-S	618-5	DATA PACKAG	e: #236]	3
VALIDATOR:	TL1	LAB: LL	T	DATE:	25/03
CASE:			SDG:	H2362	
		ANALYSES I	PERFORMED		
6W-846/ICP	SW-846/GFAA	SW-846/Hg	SW-846 Cyanide		
SAMPLES/MAT	RIX				
JOUYJE	JOUYJ	9 JOUY	to Jou	YKI JU	04k2
Jourk3	Jooyk	4 Doay	ks Too		04k7
	<u> </u>				
Ĺ					5361
	ACKAGE COMPL			.	Yes No NA
Initial calibrations	MENT PERFORM performed on all ins	struments?	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	94++=====	Yes No NA
					Yes No NA
					Yes N N/A.
					Yes No NA
					Yes No NA
Standards expired?	***************************************		****************	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Yes N NA
					Yes N N/
Comments:					
					

3. BLANKS (Levels B, C, D, and E)	
ICB and CCB checks performed for all applicable analyses? (Levels D, E)	Yes No NA
ICB and CCB results acceptable? (Levels D, E)	
Laboratory blanks analyzed?	Y No N/A
Laboratory blank results acceptable?	Ye No N/A
Field blanks analyzed? (Levels C, D, E)	Yes NA
Field blank results acceptable? (Levels C, D, E)	
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No
Comments:	NO FB
4. ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)	Yes No N/A
MS/MSD results acceptable?	
MS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	Ver No N/A
MS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)	Ves No (VA
LCS/BSS samples analyzed?	Ye No WA
LCS/BSS results acceptable?	Yel No N/A
Standards traceable? (Levels D, E)	
Standards expired? (Levels D, E)	
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	
Performance audit sample(s) analyzed?	
Performance audit sample results acceptable?	Yes No N
Comments: Lead -4790 Jall	NO PAS
Commence.	
	

Rev. 0

5. PRECISIO	N (Levels C, D, and E)								
Duplicate RPD value	s acceptable?	Yes No N/A							
Duplicate results acc	eptable?	Yes Wo NA							
MS/MSD standards	NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No W							
MS/MSD standards	expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (N)							
Field duplicate RPD	ASD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E) ASD standards expired? (Levels D, E) duplicate RPD values acceptable? split RPD values acceptable? cription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E) ments: Cal 13878 - Jal								
Field split RPD valu	MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E) MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E) I duplicate RPD values acceptable? I split RPD values acceptable? scription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E) ments: ICP QUALITY CONTROL (Levels D and E) serial dilution samples analyzed? serial dilution %D values acceptable? post digestion spike required?								
Comments:	Jeal 13870 -	July							
-		Vor. Na.							
		1 (a 1							
	_	la .							
Standards traceable?		Yes No							
Standards expired?		Yes NoW							
Transcription/calcula	tion errors?	Yes No No							
		\							

7.	FURNACE AA QUALITY CONTROL (Levels D and E)		/	, \
Dupi	licate injections performed as required?	Yes	No	NA
	licate injection %RSD values acceptable?			,
Anal	lytical spikes performed as required?	Ycs	No	NA
Anal	lytical spike recoveries acceptable?	Yes	No	NA
Stand	dards traceable?	Yes	No	N/A
Stanc	dards expired?	Yes	No	N/A
MSA	A performed as required?	Yes	Nq	NA
MSA	\ results acceptable?	Yes	No	NA
Trans	secription/calculation errors?	Yes	Ne	N/A
Com	nments:		`	$\underline{\mathcal{L}}$
8.	HOLDING TIMES (all levels)		No	MIZA
	ples properly preserved?ple holding times acceptable?	\sim) No	N/A
•	•		/140	. IVA
Com	ments:			

9. RESULT QUANTITATION AND DETECTION LIMITS (all levels)	
Results reported for all requested analyses?	Yes No N/A
Results reported for all requested analyses? Rresults supported in the raw data? (Levels D, E) Samples properly prepared? (Levels D, E) Detection limits meet RDL? Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N
Samples properly prepared? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Detection limits meet RDL?	Yes No NA
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (N/A)
Comments:	
	·

Appendix 6

Additional Documentation Requested by Client

INORGANICS METHOD BLANK DATA SUMMARY PAGE 11/07/03

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD B03-027 H2363

LVL LOT #: 0309L605

MODY	OPDED.	11343-606-001-9999-00
WORK	OKUBK:	TT343-000-00T-3333-00

					REPORTING	DILUTION
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	UNITS	LIMIT	FACTOR
******	************	*****		****		*****
BLANK1	03L0584-MB1	Arsenic, Total	0.42 u	MG/KG	0.42	1.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.04 u	MG/KG	0.04	1.0
		Chromium, Total	0.10 u	MG/KG	0.10	1.0
		Lead, Total	0.20	MG/KG	0.19	1.0

INORGANICS ACCURACY REPORT 11/07/03

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD B03-027 H2363

LVL LOT #: 0309L605

WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

			SETVED	IMILIAM	OPINED		DILUTION
SAMPLE	S SITE ID	ANALYTE	SAMPLE	RESULT	AMOUNT	*RBCOV	PACTOR (SPK)
			*****		*****	******	****
-001	J00YJ8	Arsenic, Total	189	4.5	198	93.1	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	4.5	0.23u	4.9	91.8	6.0
		Chromium, Total	31.7	13.2	19.8	93.4	6.0
	•	Lead, Total	59.0	82.3	49.5	-47.	6.0

INORGANICS PRECISION REPORT 11/07/03

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD B03-027 H2363

LVL LOT #: 0309L605

WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

			INITIAL			DILUTION	
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	result	REPLICATE	RPD	factor (rei	P)
	***********	***********	*******		*****	********	
-001REP	J00YJ8	Arsenic, Total	4.5	5.5	20.0	6.0	
		Cadmium, Total	0.23u	0.23u	NC	6.0	
		Chromium, Total	13.2	13.1	0.76	6.0	
	•	Lead, Total	82.3	15.0	138.3	6.0	

INORGANICS LABORATORY CONTROL STANDARDS REPORT 11/07/03

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD B03-027 H2363

LVL LOT #: 0309L605

			SPIKED	SPIKED		
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	SAMPLE	AMOUNT	UNITS	*RECOV
****	*********	***	****	*****		*****
LCS1	03L0584-LC1	Arsenic, LCS	958	1000	MG/KG	95.8
	•	Cadmium, LCS	24.5	25.0	MG/KG	98.0
		Chromium, LCS	49.7	50.0	MG/KG	99.4
		Lead, LCS	248	250	MG/KG	99.2

Date:

2 December 2003

To:

Bechtel Hanford, Inc. (technical representative)

From:

TechLaw, Inc.

Project:

Close Out Sampling for 300-FF-5 618-5 Burial Ground

Subject: Radiochemistry - Data Package No. H2363-LLI (SDG No. H2363)

INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Summary Data Package No. H2363-EB which was prepared by Eberline Services (EB). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the requested analytes is provided in the following table.

Sample ID	Sample Date	Media	Validation	Analysis
J00YJ8	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
100YJ9	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YK0	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YK1	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YK2	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YK3	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YK4	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YK5	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YK6	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1
J00YK7	9/24/03	Soil	С	See note 1

^{1 -} Isotopic uranium by alpha spectroscopy.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the Bechtel Hanford Incorporated (BHI) validation statement of work and the 300 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan, (DOE/RL-2001-48, Rev. 0, June 2002). Appendices 1 through 6 provide the following information as indicated below:

- Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers
- Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification
- Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports
- Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation
- Appendix 6. Additional Data Requested by Client

DATA QUALITY PARAMETERS

Holding Times

Holding times are calculated from Chain-of-Custody forms to determine the validity of the results. The maximum holding time for radiochemical analysis is 6 months.

All holding times were acceptable.

Preparation (Method) Blanks

Laboratory Blanks

Blank samples are analyzed to determine if positive results are due to laboratory reagent, sample container, or detector contamination. If blank analysis results indicate the presence of an analyte above the minimum detectable activity (MDA), the following qualifiers are applied: All positive sample results less than five times the highest blank concentration are qualified as estimates and flagged "J"; sample results below the MDA are qualified as undetected and flagged "U"; sample results above the MDA and greater than five times the highest blank concentration are not qualified.

All blank results were acceptable.

Field (Equipment) Blank

No field blanks were submitted for analysis.

Accuracy

Accuracy is evaluated from laboratory control sample (LCS) or blank spike sample (BSS) batch samples and spiked samples from the analytical batch. Measured activities are compared to the known added amounts. The acceptable LCS or BSS and matrix spike (MS) recovery range is 65-135%. In addition, samples may be spiked with a radiochemical tracer to assist in isolating the radioisotope of interest with the yield of the tracer being used in calculating sample activity. The acceptable range for tracer recovery is 20% to 105%. Spike sample results outside the above ranges result in associated sample results being qualified as estimates, or not qualified, depending on the activity of the individual sample. Results are rejected for LCS/BSS recoveries of less than 30% and tracer recoveries of less than 20%, and tracer recoveries of greater than 115% for detected results.

All accuracy results were acceptable.

• Laboratory Duplicates

Analytical precision is expressed by the relative percent differences (RPD) between the recoveries of duplicate matrix spike analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. Precision may alternatively be assessed using unspiked duplicate analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. If both sample and replicate activities (concentrations) are greater than five times the contract required detection limit (CRDL) and the RPD is less than 35%, no qualification is required. If either activity (concentration) is less than five times the CRDL, the RPD control limit is less than or equal to two times the CRDL. If the RPD is outside the applicable control limit, associated results are qualified as estimated detects or estimated non-detects.

All duplicate results were acceptable.

Field Duplicate

Two sets of field duplicate samples (J00YJ8/J00YK2 and J00YK3/J00YK7) were submitted for analysis. Field duplicates are compared using the same criteria as for laboratory duplicates. All field duplicate results were acceptable.

Detection Levels

No detection levels were specified.

Completeness

Data package No. H2363 was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

MINOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

REFERENCES

BHI, MRB-SBB-A23665, *Validation Statement of Work*, Bechtel Hanford Incorporated, September 5, 1997.

DOE/RL-2001-48. Rev. 0, 300 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan, June 2002.

Appendix 1

Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with the BHI statement of work are as follows:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected above the minimum detectable activity (MDA) in the sample. The value reported is the sample result corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory. The data is usable for decision making purposes.
- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected at concentrations above the minimum detectable activity (MDA) in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate, but is usable for decision making purposes.
- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.
- R Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.

Appendix 2
Summary of Data Qualification

METALS DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY

SDG: H2363	REVIEWER: TLI	DATE: 12/2/03	PAGE 1 OF 1			
COMMENTS: No qualifiers assigned.						

Appendix 3

Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

Project: BECHTEL-HA	NFORD)		1																	
Laboratory: EB				1																	
Case	SDG:	H2363		1																	
Sample Number		J00YJ8		J00YJ9	_	J00YK0		J00YK1		J00YK2		J00YK3		J00YK4		J00YK5		J00YK6			_
Remarks		<u> </u>								Duplicate		_	_								
Sample Date		9/24/03		9/24/03		9/24/03		9/24/03		9/24/03		9/24/03		9/24/03		9/24/03		9/24/03	-	<u> </u>	
Radiochemistry	PQL	Result	a		Q	Result	a	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q
Uranium-233/234		5.77		8.60		6.47		1.67		4.48		0.704		0.906	<u> </u>	0.874	_	0.938	Ė		Ť
Uranium-236		0.283	Г	0.462		0.226	υ	0.081	υ	0.167		0.057	U	0.017	Ū	0.124	U	0.061	υ		T
Uranium-238		5.86	_	8.87	_	6.28		1.74	Г	4.50		0.563		0.851	┢	0,745	Г	1.05			T
	-		t –				<u> </u>	1	Г						<u> </u>		Т		Т		t
							Ι		Г								Ι-		\vdash		1
	\top				_	f	Ī						Г		_	<u> </u>	Г				f
			Π												T		<u> </u>		-		T
Sample Number		J00YK7																			T
Remarks		Duplicate																			
Sample Date		9/24/03												<u> </u>							匸
Radiochemistry	PQL	Result	Q	Result	a	Result	ď	Result	a	Result	a	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q				Т
Uranium-233/234	1	0.776]_				Ĺ								_		Г				\top
Uranium-236		0.078	U																		Т
Uranium-238		0.569																			T
																					†
						Ĭ <u>.</u> _										<u> </u>					┢
																					\top
																					Т
	Ţ					<u> </u>										<u> </u>					厂
																					T
																					Ī
			L																		1
																					
			<u> </u>				Щ	<u> </u>													Г
i			╙					<u> </u>													Г
<u> </u>	 	L	Щ				_														
							_														
			_		_																П
	\perp		<u> </u>	L																	П
	<u> </u>		$ldsymbol{ld}}}}}}$																		
			$ldsymbol{oxed}$				L.,														Г
			<u> </u>																		Г
			$oxed{\Box}$					[
	1			i T	-			ı ———							_						-
										I				1			1	l			5 i

JEOON

7603-001

DATA SHEET

J00YJ8

7603 Melissa C. Mannion	Client/Case no Contract		SDG_H2363
		618-5 Deep Zone 09/24/03 09:00 95.8	

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	5.77	0.63	0.12	1.0		ับ
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0.283	0.13	0.12	1.0		U
Uranium 238	U-238	5.86	0.63	0.12	1.0		ប

Close Out Smpl.300-FF-1 618-4 Burial

1/25/07

DATA SHEETS
Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 11

7603-002

DATA SHEET

JOOYJ9

	7603 Melissa C. Mannion	Client/Case no Contract		
			618-5 Deep Zone SOLID 09/24/03 09:15 104.3 q	

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS TEST
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	8.60	0.89	0.18	1.0	U
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0.462	0.19	0.15	1.0	บ
Uranium 238	U-238	8.87	0.93	0.15	1.0	U

Close Out Smpl.300-FF-1 618-4 Burial

1/25/00

DATA SHEETS
Page 2
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 12

7603-003

DATA SHEET

JOOYKO

	7603 Melissa C. Mannion	Client/Case no Contract		H2363
L		Client sample id Location/Matrix Collected/Weight Custody/SAF No	618-5 Deep Zone 09/24/03 09:30 92.8 q	SOLID

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIBRS	TEST
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	6.47	0.99	0.20	1.0		Ū
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0.226	0.19	0.25	1.0	ָּט	U
Uranium 238	U-238	6.28	0.94	0.20	1.0		U

Close Out Smpl.300-FF-1 618-4 Burial

Pm/25/03

DATA SHEETS
Page 3
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 13

Lab id <u>EBRLNE</u>

Protocol <u>Hanford</u>

Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>

Form <u>DVD-DS</u>

Version <u>3.06</u>

Report date <u>10/10/03</u>

7603-004

DATA SHEET

J00YK1

1 .	7603 Melissa C. Mannion	Client/Case no Contract		Н2363
Lab sample id Dept sample id Received % solids	7603-004 09/30/03		618-5 Deep Zone 09/24/03 09:40 93.2 q	SOLID

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	1.67	0.31	0.10	1.0	·	ש
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0.081	0.065	0.12	1.0	ប	U
Uranium 238	U-238	1.74	0.31	0.10	1.0		U

Close Out Smpl.300-FF-1 618-4 Burial

1/25/03

DATA SHEETS
Page 4
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 14

7603-005

DATA SHEET

J00YK2

1	7603 Melissa C. Mannion	Client/Case no Contract		SDG H2363
			618-5 Deep Zone 09/24/03 09:00 101.	

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS TEST
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	4.48	0.55	0.11	1.0	บ
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0.167	0.10	0.13	1.0	U
Uranium 238	U-238	4.50	0.55	0.11	1.0	υ

Close Out Smpl.300-FF-1 618-4 Burial

PM/23/03

DATA SHEETS
Page 5
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 15

7603-006

DATA SHEET

J00YK3

7603 Melissa C. Mannion	Client/Case no Contract		SDG_H2363
		618-5 Shallow Zone 09/24/03 09:50 91.4	

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	0.704	0.22	0.12	1.0	<u> </u>	U
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0.057	0.076	0.14	1.0	U	U
Uranium 238	U-238	0.563	0.19	0.12	1.0		U

Close Out Smpl.300-FF-1 618-4 Burial

11/25/03

DATA SHEETS
Page 6
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 16

7603-007

DATA SHEET

J00YK4

	7603 Melissa C. Mannion	Client/Case no Contract	
Lab sample id Dept sample id Received % solids	7603-007 09/30/03	•	618-5 Shallow Zone SOLID 09/24/03 10:05 89.6 q

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	0.906	0.23	0.10	1.0		ט
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0.017	0.033	0.13	1.0	U	Ŭ
Uranium 238	U-238	0.851	0.22	0.10	1.0	<u> </u>	U

Close Out Smpl.300-FF-1 618-4 Burial

11/25/03

DATA SHEETS
Page 7
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 17

7603-008

DATA SHEET

J00YK5

	7603 Melissa C. Mannion	Client/Case no Contract		SDG_H2363
Lab sample id Dept sample id Received % solids	7603-008 09/30/03	Collected/Weight	J00YK5 618-5 Shallow Zone 09/24/03 10:15 92.7 B03-027-03 B03-0	

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	PCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	0.874	0.32	0.20	1.0		ប
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0.124	0.12	0.24	1.0	U	U
Uranium 238	U-238	0.745	0.26	0.20	1.0		Ū

Close Out Smpl.300-FF-1 618-4 Burial

M 11/25/03

DATA SHEETS
Page 8
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 18

7603-009

DATA SHEET

JOOYK6

	7603 Melissa C. Mannion	Client/Case no Contract	
1		Collected/Weight	J00YK6 618-5 Shallow Zone SOLID 09/24/03 10:25 91.4 q B03-027-03 B03-027

ANALYTE CAS NO		RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	0.938	0.23	0.096	1.0		
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0.061	0.061	0.12	1.0	U	υ
Uranium 238	U-238	1.05	0.23	0.096	1.0		U

Close Out Smpl.300-FF-1 618-4 Burial

11/25/03

DATA SHEETS
Page 9
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 19

7603-010

DATA SHEET

J00YK7

1	7603 Melissa C. Mannion	Client/Case no Contract		SDG H2363
•		Client sample id Location/Matrix Collected/Weight Custody/SAF No	618-5 Shallow Zone 09/24/03 09:50 87.6	

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	0.776	0.21	0.099	1.0		U
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0.078	0.063	0.12	1.0	U	υ .
Uranium 238	U-238	0.569	0.18	0.099	1.0		ប

Close Out Smpl.300-FF-1 618-4 Burial

11/25/03

DATA SHEETS
Page 10
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 20

Appendix 4

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation

Case Narrative

Page 1 of 1

1.0 GENERAL

Bechtel Hanford Inc. (BHI) Sample Delivery Group H2363 was composed of ten soil samples designated under SAF No. B03-027 with a Project Designation of: Close Out Sampling for 300-FF-1 618 Burial Ground.

The samples were received as stated on the Chain-of-Custody documents. Any discrepancies are noted on the Eberline Services Sample Receipt Checklist. The results were transmitted to BHI via e-Fax on October 10, 2003.

2.0 ANALYSIS NOTES

2.1 Isotopic Uranium Analyses

No problems were encountered during the course of the analyses.

Case Narrative Certification Statement

"I certify that this data package is in compliance with the SOW, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data obtained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature."

Melissa C. Mannion
Senior Program Manager

10/20/3 Date

Bechtel Hanfor	d Inc.	CI	IAIN OF CUST	ODY/S	AMPLI	E ANAL	YSIS	REQUES?		B0:	3-027-02	Page i	of 1
Collector R Fahlberg		Comps	nv Contact Lerch	Telenho 373-5	ne No.			Project Coordi KESSNER, JH		Price Code	8K		rnaround
Project Designation Close Out Sampling for 300-F	F-1 618-5 Burial Ground		ing Location 5 Deep Zone	12363	760	73)		SAF No. 1303-027		Air Quality	· []	121	Days ———
Ice Chest No. ERC	Id Logbook No. COA RG61852600					Nethod of Shipment Fed EX							
Shipped To EBERLINE SERVICES (Form	nerly TMA)	Offsite	Property No.	030	400	.1		Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. See OSPC Form					
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZAF				1		A	1	1					•
Potentially Radioactive Tie To	JOC YJ6		Prescrvation	None	None					<u> </u>			
Special Handling and/or St	orage	•	Type of Container	aG	aG /					., 			
Name			No. of Container(s)	60mL	250mL	 	 	_			 		
) <u>Q</u>			Volume	- OUTILE	230111								Ì
NOTE OF THE PARTY	sis Mig	₃₀ /3	Isotopic Uranium	ICP Mc/als- 6010A [Add- on) [A/sent- Hard-re- Caddrium, Chromium, (Jead]	9.24.0	·							
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time	器 2 20			2 T		₩.	I SAL	J		
J00YJ8	SOIL	9/24/03	0900	又	X								
J00XJ8	SOIL	9/24/03	0915		X								<u> </u>
J00YK0	SOIL	9/24/03	0530	X	[/×_								
J00YK1	SOIL	9/24/03	0940	X	/x								
J00YK2	SOIL	9/24/23	0900	X	Х				 \				
CHAIN OF POSSESSION		-Sign/Print			SPE	LAL INSTR	UCTIC	NS					Matrix *
Relinquished By/Removed From Relinquished By/Removed From A 3 Z & 9 Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time 1000	Received By/Ston	4.24-03 ed in Richits ed in d d d D d d D	ate/Time 1 2 0 ate/Time 1 2 7 ate/Time ate/Time ate/Time ate/Time ate/Time	03	:							S=Soil SE=Sodiment SE=Sodiment SC=Sodiment
LABORATORY Received By SECTION				Tit	le						Da	te/Time	
FINAL SAMPLE Disposal Met DISPOSITION	ihod					Dispo	sed By				Di	ate/Time	

Bechtel H	Hanford	Inc.		CI	IAIN OF CUS	TODY/S	AMP	LE	ANAL	YSIS	REQUES	r	B03-027-03 Page 1 01 1			OI T
Collector R Fahlberg					nv Contact Lerch	Telenho 373-5					Project Coord KESSNER, JH	inator	Price Code	8K		rnaround
Project Designation Close Out Sampling	for 300-FF	-1 618-5 Burial	Ground		ing Location 5 Shallow Zone	H23	63 (7	(203)		SAF No. B03-027		Air Quali	ty 🗆	15	Days
Ice Chest No.	ERC	99,	969		.0gbook No. 395-8		COA Method of Shioment RG61852600 Fed EX				oment '				· 	
Shinned To EBERLINE SERVIC	EBERLINE SERVICES (Formerly TMA)				Property No.	A030	4ac	2_	,- -		Bill of Lading/Air Bill No. See OSPC Form					
POSSIBLE SAMPLE Potentially Radioactiv		ds/remarks Tpg y		·	Preservation	None	Nom	. /								
Special Handling a	and/or Sto	rage			Type of Container	#G	aG 1	otag					_			
None C					No. of Container(s) Volume	60mL	250m	-		· ·-	-	 			 	
000024		SAMPLE A	MALYSIS			Isotopic Uranium	ICP Mes 6010A (on) (Ass Barria Cadria Chrorris Lead	Add- enic, i m, im, im,	128 q. e4.0;	•				1 45	·	
Sample No.		Matrix *	Sai	mple Date	Sample Time		78	4								
J00YK3		SOIL	9.	24.0	3 0950	×	X									
J00YK4		SOIL	q.	24-0	3 1005	X	X						_ {			
J00YK5		SOIL		24.0		X	X			,						
J00YK6		SOIL		1.24.0		×	/ ス				1					
J00YK7		SOIL		9-240		X	×									
CHAIN OF POS	SESSION			Sign/Print	Names			PEC	IAL INSTR	UCTIO	NS					Matrix *
Relinquished By/Refroyed 1 A 3 7 2 8 Relinquished By/Removed	From q, z	Date/Time	Rece OO QZ	eived By/Store	28 9.2 ed in 9.29 050 O. P. K. H. H.	ate/Time / 2 403 ate/Time / 0 ate/Time	1									S=Soil SE=Scotiment SO=Solid SI=Studge W = Water O=Ott A=Air DS=Drum Solids
Refinance By/Removed	From	Date/Time	Reco	eight By/Ston	9-30	ate/Time -03 100	5									DL*Drum Liquids T*Tissuc WI=Wipe L*Liquid V*Vegetation
Relinquished By/Removed		Date/Time	Rece	eived By/Ston	ed in D	ate/Time										X=Other
Relinquished By/Removed	From	Date/Time	Rece	cived By/Store	ed in D	ste/Time										· •
LABORATORY RESECTION	eceived By					Til	le								Pate/Time	
FINAL SAMPLE DI DISPOSITION	isposal Metho	od							Dispos	ed By	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·	Date/Time	

Appendix 5

Data Validation Supporting Documentation

APPENDIX A

RADIOCHEMICAL DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

RADIOCHEMICAL DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

VALIDATION LEVEL:	A	В	(c)	D	E
PROJECT: 30	offs h	8-5	DATA PACKAG	E: H236	3
VALIDATOR:	TEI	LAB: ER		DATE: //2	१/७७
CASE:			SDG:	2363	
		ANALYSES	PERFORMED		
Gross Alpha/Beta	Strontium-90	Technetism-99	Alpha Spectrancopy	Gernite Spectroscopy	
Total Uranium	Radium-22	Tritium			
SAMPLES/MAT	rix				
JOUYJE	3 J 00	yJ9 Ja	oyko J	wyki J	ωyk2
JOUYK3	JOUYA	y Jour	K5 Jo	oyke J	WYK7
					,
					Sar (
1. Completenes	: \$	*************************	*********		MNA
-				÷	1 ~
	cation forms pre	sent?	*****************	*************************	Yes No N/A
Comments:		····			
			·		
		·			
		····			
	<u>,, </u>				
2. Initial Calibr	ation (Levels D,	E)	***************************************	•••••••••••	XN/A
Instruments/dete	ectors calibrated	?		**************************************	Yes No N/A
Initial calibratio	n acceptable?		***************************************	************	Yes No N/A
Standards NIST	traceable?		**************	*****	Yes No N/A
Data Validation Pr	ocedure for Radioc	hemical Analysis) () () () ()		

Standards Expired?	Yes No N/A
Calculation check acceptable?	
Comments:	
3. Continuing Calibration (Levels D, E)	
Calibration checked within required frequency?	•
Calibration check acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Calibration check standards traceable?	Yes No N/A
Calibration check standards expired?	Yes No N/A
Calculation check acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Comments:	
	,
	7.
·	
4. Background Counts (Levels D, E)	W/A
Background Counts checked within required frequency?	Ţ
Background Counts acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Calculation check acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Comments:	

5. Blanks (Levels B, C, D, E)	🗆 N/A
Method blank analyzed within required frequency?	Yak No N/A
Method blank results acceptable?	Yea No N/A
Analytes detected in method blank?	Ye No N/A
Field blank(s) analyzed?	
Field blank results acceptable?	<u> </u>
Analytes detected in field blank(s)?	\succ
Transcription/Calculation Errors? (Levels D, E)	<u> </u>
Comments:	😙
6. Laboratory Control Samples or Blank Spike Samples (Le	
LCS /BSS analyzed within required frequency?	(.Yes)No N/A
LCS/BSS recoveries acceptable?	
LCS/BSS traceable? (Levels D,E)	
LCS/BSS expired? (Levels D,E)	Yes No N/A
LCS/BSS levels correct? (Levels D,E)	
Transcription/Calculation Errors? (Levels D, E) Comments:	
7. Chemical Carrier Recovery (Levels C, D, E)	JEN/A
Chemical carrier added?	Yes No N/A
Chemical recovery acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Chemical carrier traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A

000028

Chemical carrier expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Transcription/Calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	
Comments:	
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
8. Tracer Recovery (Levels C, D, E)	🗆 N/A
Tracer added?	
Tracer recovery acceptable?	
Tracer traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/)
Tracer expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No NV
Transcription/Calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No(N/A
Comments:	
9. Matrix Spikes (Levels C, D, E)	₩ N/A
Matrix spike analyzed?	Yes No N/A
Spike recoveries acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Spike source traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Spike source expired? Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Transcription/Calculation Errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Comments:	·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

10. Duplicates (Levels C, D, E)	
Duplicates Analyzed at required frequency?	Yes No N/A
RPD Values Acceptable?	
Transcription/Calculation Errors? (Levels D, E)	
Comments:	
11. Field QC Samples (Levels C, D E)	
Field duplicate sample(s) analyzed?	Yes No. N/
Field duplicate RPD values acceptable?	
Field split sample(s) analyzed?	
Field split RPD values acceptable?	
Performance audit sample(s) analyzed?	$\sim$ $\sim$
Performance audit sample results acceptable?	
Comments:	
	•
12. Holding Times (All levels)	
Are sample holding times acceptable?	
Comments:	
·	

Results reported for a	raw data?(Levels D, E)(Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Results supported in	raw data?(Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Results Acceptable?	(Levels D, E)	Yes No NA
Transcription/Calcula	ation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No NA
MDA's meet required	tion errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Transcription/calcula	tion errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (N/A)
	NO BOLS	

### Appendix 6

Additional Documentation Requested by Client

7603-012

#### METHOD BLANK

Method Blank

SDG 7603 Contact Melissa C. Mannion	Client/Case no Contract	 SDG_H2363
Lab sample id <u>R309162-12</u> Dept sample id <u>7603-012</u>	Client sample id Material/Matrix SAF No	 SOLID

ANALYTE	CAS NO	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST
Uranium 233/234	U-233/234	0.032	0.064	0.12	1.0	บ	Ü
Uranium 235	15117-96-1	0	0.039	0.15	1.0	ប	U
Uranium 238	U-238	0	0.032	0.12	1.0	U	U

Close Out Smpl.300-FF-1 618-4 Burial

QC-BLANK 45762

METHOD BLANKS
Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 8

7603-011

#### LAB CONTROL SAMPLE

Lab Control Sample

SDG <u>7603</u> Contact <u>Melissa C. Mannion</u>	Client/Case no <u>Hanford</u> SDG H2363 Contract No. 630
Lab sample id <u>R309162-11</u> Dept sample id <u>7603-011</u>	Client sample id <u>Lab Control Sample</u> Material/Matrix <u>SOLID</u> SAF No <u>BO3-027</u>

ANALYTE	RESULT pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST	ADDED pCi/g	2σ ERR pCi/g	REC %	3σ LMTS (TOTAL)	PROTOCOI LIMITS
Uranium 233/234	18.5	1.5	0.72	1.0		υ	19.3	0.77	96	85-115	80-120
Uranium 235	15.5	1.4	0.14	1.0		υİ	15.7	0.63	99	84-116	80-120
Uranium 238	21.4	1.7	0.68	1.0		U	21.0	0.84	102	84-116	80-120

Close Out Smpl.300-FF-1 618-4 Burial

00 100 157/4	
QC-LCS 45761	

LAB CONTROL SAMPLES
Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 9

Lab id <u>EBRLNE</u>

Protocol <u>Hanford</u>

Version <u>Ver 1.0</u>

Form <u>DVD-LCS</u>

Version <u>3.06</u>

Report date <u>10/10/03</u>

7603-013

#### DUPLICATE

8LY00L

SDG <u>7603</u>	<del></del>	Client/Case no <u>H</u>	lanford	SDG_H2363
Contact <u>Melissa C. Man</u> r	ion_	Contract <u>M</u>	No. 630	<u>.</u>
DUPLICATE	ORIGINAL			
Lab sample id <u>R309162-13</u>	Lab sample id <u>R309162-01</u>	Client sample id	100718	<u></u>
Dept sample id <u>7603-013</u>	Dept sample id <u>7603-001</u>	Location/Matrix <u>é</u>	618-5 Deep Zone	SOLID
	Received <u>09/30/03</u>	Collected/Weight <u>C</u>	09/24/03 09:00	95.8 g
	% solids <u>98.5</u>	Custody/SAF No <u>E</u>	903-027-02	<u> 303-027</u>

ANALYTE	DUPLICATE pCi/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCi/g	RDL pCi/g	QUALI- FIERS	TEST	ORIGINAL pCî/g	2σ ERR (COUNT)	MDA pCī/g	QUALI- RPD FIERS %	3σ PROT
Uranium 233/234	5.36	0.61	0.14	1.0		C	5.77	0.63	0.12	. 7	. 26
Uranium 235	0.358	0.16	0.12	1.0	•	U	0.283	0.13	0.12	. 23	97
Uranium 238	5.58	0.62	0.12	1.0		υ	5.86	0.63	0.12	. 5	25

Close Out Smpl.300-FF-1 618-4 Burial

GC-DNb#,	45763			•	

DUPLICATES
Page 1
SUMMARY DATA SECTION
Page 10